

## Are online charter schools good options for families?

**No. Online charter schools, also called cyber schools and virtual schools, are a poor choice for students almost every time. They're mostly a way for for-profit education operators to cash in by exploiting the most vulnerable families in the public education system.**

### **This is why**

**Online schools, in general, don't perform well, but online charters are particularly bad.** Overall, online schools serving students K-12 have dramatically negative effects on academic achievement.

**Graduation rates for online charters are dreadful.** While graduation rates for public schools have been trending up nationally, online schools continue to lag significantly.

**The online charter sector is dominated by big, for-profit chains.** School districts have been increasingly creating their own virtual schools, but these tend to enroll far fewer students than large online charters. The nation's largest online charter chain is K12 Inc., a for-profit company listed on Wall Street. Outsourcing online schools to private companies opens them up to financial fraud, waste, and abuse.

**Online charters often prey on the most vulnerable students.** The promise of a stay-at-home school is most tempting to students already struggling, but it's generally a false promise.

### **Look at the facts**

A **comprehensive analysis** of online schools nationwide comparing student performance on assessments in reading and mathematics found 37.8% of district-operated online schools had an acceptable proficiency rating compared to **only 20% for online charters**. Similarly, 23.1% of district online schools had proficiency rates above the state average, while only 16.8% of online charter schools had above-average rates.

A study of online charters in **Ohio** found students attending these schools **perform worse than their peers** in brick-and-mortar schools in all tested grades and subjects.

A widely cited **national study** found students enrolled in full-time, online-only schools lost an average of about 72 days of learning in reading and 180 days of learning in math over a 180-day school year – meaning, in math, **an entire year of lost instruction**.

An **investigation of an online charter school** in **Colorado** found fewer than one in four students used the school's software every day, and the school's leader directed millions of taxpayer dollars to his for-profit company.

**Nationally**, online schools, both district and charter operated, have **graduation rates of just 40%**, less than half the national average. In contrast, regular public high schools have four-year graduation rates of 85%.

**Dropout rates at North Carolina's** two online charters were 25% and 30%. Both schools received grades of "F" in mathematics and "C" in reading on state ratings.

At **Maine's** online charter, **25% of the students dropped out** within the first 90 days of operation.

At a **Kansas** school district, an online charter operated by K12 Inc. posted a **graduation rate of just 26.3%** compared to 88% and 94% for the district's two high schools.

**Ohio's** largest online charter had **graduation rates of only 39%** in 2014. In that same year, the school **paid the companies associated with its founder nearly \$23 million**, or about one-fifth of the nearly \$115 million in





# NPE TOOLKIT: School Privatization Explained

government funds it took in.

Online charters run by private education management organizations (EMOs) account for 74.4% of all enrollments in online schools. Virtual schools operated by for-profit EMOs had **44 students per teacher**, more than double the national average of 15.

An in-depth investigation of an online charter in **California** found the schools are controlled by a for-profit firm that handles almost every aspect of the school’s operations, including curriculum, personnel, and marketing. The company compensation can amount to as much as **75% of the school’s public funding**.

A **nationwide review** of hundreds of news stories and dozens of state audits found an overwhelming number of negative accounts about online charter schools. One **Colorado** online charter had a 19% graduation rate. An **Ohio** online charter inflated student attendance by

nearly 500%. A **Pennsylvania** online charter founder siphoned off \$8 million in public money, including \$300,000, to buy his own airplane. And a **Hawaii** online charter founder hired her nephew as the athletic director – for a school with no sports teams.

Another study of **Ohio** online charters found that students with low test scores who enroll in these schools tend to **fall even further behind** their peers. Higher-performing students fare better but still do worse than they would have done if they had not enrolled in an online charter.

A study in **Indiana** found that students who were struggling in public schools **fell further behind** after transferring to online charter schools.

An analysis of **California** online charter schools found the quality of education in online charter schools is **significantly worse** than that in traditional public schools – for every type of student.

WHAT PRIVATIZERS BELIEVE	WHAT WE BELIEVE
Online charter schools are a good fit for some families.	Online charter schools rarely live up to their promises and open struggling students to exploitation.
Online charter schools belong in the mix of school options available to parents.	Online charter schools siphon precious dollars away from good schools and drag down the performance of the whole system.
Online charter schools are an experiment worth trying.	The track record for online charters is well established and uniformly bad.

## Bottom Line

Strong demand for online charters is the result of marketing hype and not evidence of good results. States and school districts continue to adopt these schools believing they can somehow be an exception to their poor track record, but the results are invariably negative, and, in the meantime, more children are cheated out of a quality education while for-private companies add to their profits. Families and communities need high-quality, well-resourced public schools, not false promises.